

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/039,004	01/04/2002	Ralph Weldy	0112480-010	7477		
24573 75	08/30/2004		EXAM	EXAMINER		
BELL, BOYD & LLOYD, LLC PO BOX 1135			CORBIN, ARTHUR L			
CHICAGO, IL	60690-1135		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			1761	-		
			DATE MAILED: 08/30/2004	DATE MAILED: 08/30/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



	Application No. Applicant(s)					
0.00	10039,000	4	WELRY			
Office Action Summary	Examiner		Group Art Unit			
	ARTHUR L	CORBIN	(761			
-The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover sheet	beneath the co	prrespondence ac	ldresș —		
Period for Reply	-					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	EXPIRE	MONTH(S) FROM THE MA	LING DATE		
 Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a replace of the period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by default, Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statution and period for reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 	ly within the statutory rexpire SIX (6) MONTHS	ninimum of thirty (3 from the mailing d n to become ABAN	(0) days will be considered this communicate of this communicate (35 U.S.C. §	dered timely. ation. 133).		
Status A Responsive to communication(s) filed on	4					
A This action is FINAL .						
 Since this application is in condition for allowance except f accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935. 	or formal matters, p C.D. 1 1; 453 O.G. 2	rosecution as t	o the merits is c	osed in		
Disposition of Claims						
Claim(s) [- 1 5	is/are p	_ is/are pending in the application.				
Of the above claim(s)	is/are v	$_{-}$ is/are withdrawn from consideration.				
□ Claim(s)	is/are a	_ is/are allowed.				
Claim(s) (-15	is/are n	_ is/are rejected.				
□ Claim(s)	is/are o	_ is/are objected to.				
□ Claim(s)						
Application Papers		require				
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	• •	• • •	ed.			
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected	d to by the Examine	er				
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)						
☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority un	der 35 U.S.C. § 119	(a)-(d).				
☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the:						
☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been rec		No	•			
☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents						
in this national stage application from the International E *Certified copies not received:	·					
Attachment(s)				_·		
☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s	, –	Intension Com	non/ DTO 440			
		Interview Summ	-			
□ Notice of Reference(s) Cited, PTO-892		□ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152				
☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948		Other				
Office Action Summary						

Application/Control Number: 10/039,004 Page 2

Art Unit: 1761

1. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter, which the applicant regards as his invention.

3. Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter, which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. There is no support in the original disclosure for chilling to "25° F or less"

Correction is required.

4. Claims 5 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

There is no antecedent basis in claim 5 or in claim 12 for "the poultry" (line 3 of claims 5 and 12). Correction is required.

5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

⁽a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 10/039,004

Art Unit: 1761

6. Claims 1-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over <u>The Good Cook</u> literature article.

The article is described in paragraph No. 3, Paper No. 032404. It would have been obvious to store the chicken parts under chilled conditions before slicing since chilling is a conventional storage preservation means for poultry. Finding the optimum time and temperature parameters (claims 2-4, 5, 7 and 12) would require nothing more than routine experimentation by one reasonably skilled in this art.

7. Claims 1-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Strong.

Applicant is referred to the reasoning set forth in paragraph No. 4, Paper No. 032404. Also, see the last sentence in paragraph No. 6 above.

8. Applicant's arguments filed July 9, 2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The one hour time period used for marinating the chicken in the literature article is clearly feasible on a commercial scale.

Further, applicant's contention, that chilling and marinating chicken pieces is not disclosed in Strong, is without merit. Strong (column 5, lines 1-5) discloses initially packing chilled chickens in ice and later marinating chicken pieces (column 5, lines 20 and 21).

9. The switch from 35 USC 102 to 35 USC 103 in the rejection of claim 1 is not a new ground of rejection. See <u>In re Jacobson</u>, 160 USPQ 795 and <u>Ex parte Hill</u>, 169 USPQ 437).

Application/Control Number: 10/039,004

Art Unit: 1761

10. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication from the examiner should be directed to Arthur L. Corbin whose telephone number is (571) 272-1399. The examiner can generally be reached on Monday--Friday from 10:30 to 8:00 p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Milton Cano can be reached on (571) 272-1398. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/039,004

Art Unit: 1761

Page 5

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

A.L. Corbin/dh August 27, 2004

ARTHUR L. CORBIN PRIMARY EXAMINER

8-27-04